1. Introduction

Superconducting Single-Flux-Quantum (SFQ) devices are expected to be emerging digital circuit devices that can follow conventional CMOS devices [1]. With the progress of integration and the development of technologies of high-speed interconnecting transmission lines [2], SFQ digital circuits with thousands of Josephson junctions working at high clock frequency up to several tens of GHz have become feasible [3]–[5].

To design large-scale circuits, computer-aided circuit design systems are indispensable. For CMOS circuits, systems to support various aspects of the design process have been used for years. Though some part of the software tools can be applied to SFQ circuit design, dedicated design algorithms and tools are needed in many stages in the design process, because the nature of SFQ circuits is different from that of CMOS circuits.

In SFQ circuits, digital information is represented by a magnetic flux quantum passing through a superconducting loop and is transmitted by a voltage pulse generated by a Josephson junction. From the viewpoint of logic design, SFQ circuits operate by pulse logic and hence have different circuit structure compared to conventional level logic. Parameters to consider in optimization, such as trade-off values between timing and area costs and balances between logic gate and transmission performances, are also special in SFQ circuit design. Design flow for high-performance SFQ circuits should take these factors into account.

Design tools for SFQ circuits have been developed and an integrated design methodology has been proposed in [6]. In their work, circuits are designed with zero-skew synchronous clocking, which is common in semiconductor circuits. They successfully showed that design automation of large-scale SFQ circuits is possible with synchronous clocking scheme.

Our aim is to develop an advanced design methodology applicable to SFQ circuits with flow-clocking, which is a skewed clocking scheme suitable for high-speed SFQ circuits. Here, new algorithms to deal with the distinctive timing-related issues are required.

Based on the timing-aware description and the dedicated algorithms we have been developing for SFQ logic circuits, we propose a timing-aware circuit description method in logical schematic level. By using the proposed description, signal timing which affects the circuit behavior can be specified completely, while being independent of physical design.

This paper is organized as follows. We show the issues to consider in designing SFQ logic circuits in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we propose a timing-aware circuit description and show a circuit simulation method as an application of the description. In Sect. 4, we describe the proposed design flow for SFQ circuits. An overview of the individual algorithms and an example of circuit design to demonstrate the practicality of the design flow are also shown. Section 5 is a
conclusion.

2. Design issues of SFQ Logic Circuits

2.1 Logical Expression and Timing

The main reason that we need new circuit description method and new design algorithms for SFQ logic circuits is that they work by pulse logic. In designing SFQ logic circuits, presence and absence of a pulse are associated with logic values ‘1’ and ‘0’ respectively. A clock signal is introduced to define time frames and the logic value ‘0’ is distinguished from the state that the pulse has not arrived. Therefore, we have to be aware of the timing of clock distribution. Because timing information has not been described in a circuit schematic, a new timing-aware circuit description method is necessary. New design algorithms for timing-driven optimization are also required.

Each SFQ logic gate is driven by synchronous clock pulses, and the circuit behavior can be interpreted in the same way as conventional CMOS logic circuits. However, it is not practical to design totally synchronous circuits in which a clock pulse is fed to all gates at the same time, because the switching speed is very high and wiring delay is not negligible. Therefore, flow-clocking design is commonly used, where clock skew for each logic gate is introduced. A clock pulse is distributed along with the data and reaches to each gate after a different amount of time. Hence, the clock is skewed, i.e., the time frames defined at each gate are different to one another. For correct operation, we have to design the topology and the geometry to distribute a clock signal carefully, in order to ensure that setup and hold conditions at each gate are satisfied.

Flow-clocking can be classified into several schemes. In the concurrent-flow clocking scheme, clock pulses are fed to the circuit along with data pulses, and a clock pulse arrives before the data pulses at each gate. A circuit with concurrent-flow clocking operates in a pipelined manner where each level of gates corresponds to a stage. In the clock-follow-data clocking scheme, clock pulses are fed to the circuit along with data pulses, which is the same as the concurrent-flow clocking, but a clock pulse arrives after the data pulses at each gate. Behavior of a clock-follow-data clocking circuit is interpreted in the same way as a combinational circuit.

Because SFQ circuits can operate at high switching frequency, timing-related issues are critical throughout the design flow. In typical circuit design, concurrent-flow clocking is employed as a whole, and a small cluster of gates is possibly designed by the clock-follow-data clocking scheme to save operation clock cycles. If the clock timing of the fabricated circuit is not as intended by the designer, the circuit does not work correctly. Therefore, timing-aware circuit description is required to specify the logical behavior completely. Because timing adjustment in detailed design on trial-and-error basis is hard for large-scale circuits, design automation using computer-aided design tools is indispensable.

2.2 Cell-Based Design and Interconnects

Design of SFQ logic circuits is performed in a cell-based manner [7]. The set of logic gates are predefined as a cell library, which contains logical and physical information. Circuits are implemented by arranging cells and interconnects. Connections between cells are implemented by simply placing next to each other, or by using Josephson transmission lines (JTLs) and splitters. Splitters and JTLs are also predefined in the cell library. With the progress of the fabrication process technology, passive transmission lines (PTLs) have become available as interconnects.

There are several aspects specific to SFQ devices to consider in circuit design. The timing requirement is much more severe compared to conventional CMOS circuits, because of the high switching speed and the pulse-based behavior. As for the circuit topology, we have to use active cells to make fan-out, because PTLs are basically one-to-one transmission lines. In timing design, though PTLs can be used for faster interconnects especially for long connections, they require overheads of transmitters and receivers. In layout design, JTLs have to be arranged in the active layer, while PTLs are routed through other layers.

In the design process for SFQ circuits, there have been a lot of procedures processed manually, and our aim is to establish an automated design flow. Computer-aided design algorithms should be designed with the SFQ-specific issues taken into account.

3. Timing-Aware Circuit Description and Simulation

3.1 Timing-Aware Circuit Description

SFQ circuits of the same topology may have different functions, depending on the signal timing caused by switching and transmission delay. However, transmission delay is not usually specified in the circuit schematic. Therefore, circuit schematic solely cannot describe the functionality of the circuits and we have to be aware of temporal information. In conventional design environment, the logical behavior of the SFQ circuits cannot be settled before detailed layout design. Therefore, logical and physical design of SFQ circuits are tightly bounded together, which is a bad practice with respect to step-wise refinement of design.

To cope with this situation, we propose a timing-aware schematic representation of SFQ circuits [8]. Because the function of each gate in a circuit depends on the timing of pulse arrivals, we attach an annotation of the order of pulse arrivals to each gate in a schematic to describe function accurately. The order is represented by inequalities between input terminals including the clock input. We define periodical time frames, which are typically the clock cycles, to define the order.

Here, we consider circuits which satisfy the following conditions.
**Condition 1:** Each gate in a circuit has the order of possible pulse arrivals independent of parameters such as clock frequency and gate delay.

**Condition 2:** The order of possible pulse arrivals at each gate is always consistent with a partial order defined on the input terminals.

By these conditions, we exclude circuits containing multicycle paths and autonomous oscillator circuits.

The following proposition is derived from the above conditions and now we can specify the function of an SFQ circuit accurately.

**Proposition 1:** The function of an SFQ circuit is specified uniquely by the schematic with annotations of the order of pulse arrivals.

**Proof:** When input pulses are fed to a circuit, internal states of the gates after current time frame are determined by the current internal states and the current input pulses, independent of the clock frequency (Condition 1). The order of pulse arrivals at each gate is specified by the annotation and hence the behavior of the gate is completely described (Condition 2). Therefore, the function of the circuit as a whole is specified uniquely. □

Figures 1(a) and (b) are examples of schematics with annotations. Figure 1(a) is a circuit with clock-follow-data clocking and Fig. 1(b) is a circuit with concurrent-flow clocking. In a circuit with clock-follow-data clocking, data pulses arrive at each gate before a clock pulse arrives. Therefore, we give an inequality \( i_1, i_2 < \text{clk} \) for each gate in Fig. 1(a). In a circuit with concurrent-flow clocking, a clock pulse arrives at each gate before data pulses arrive. Therefore, we give an inequality \( \text{clk} < i_1, i_2 \) for each gate in Fig. 1(b).

Figures 2(a1) and (b1) are examples of SFQ circuit schematics with feedback loops. Clock wires are omitted in these figures. Figures 2(a2) and (b2) show the behavior of the circuits in Figs. 2(a1) and (b1), respectively. In Fig. 2(a1), gate \( G_3 \) receives a pulse from the feedback loop. The pulse through the loop arrives at \( G_3 \) after a clock pulse arrives, and the logical value is interpreted in the next time frame. In Fig. 2(b1), \( G_2 \) receives a pulse from the feedback loop. Though the circuits shown in Figs. 2(a1) and (b1) work differently, they cannot be distinguished by the schematic descriptions only, because they have the same topology. Annotations of the order of pulse arrivals are sufficient to specify the circuit behavior.

**Fig. 1** SFQ logic circuit schematics with annotations of the order of pulse arrivals. (a) Clock-follow-data clocking. (b) Concurrent-flow clocking.

Now we show a formulation for describing the annotations of the order of pulse arrivals in circuit description language for SFQ circuits. This description can be used for design automation for SFQ logic circuits. In the new description language, we represent a gate as follows.

\[
o = \text{GATE } G (w_1 @ p_1, w_2 @ p_2, \ldots, w_k @ p_k);
\]

Here, \( \text{GATE} \) is a primitive logic function, such as AND, OR and XOR. \( G \) is the instance name of the gate. \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k \) are inputs of the gate. \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \) are integers to describe the order of pulse arrivals. \( o \) is the output of the gate. This description represents a sequence of inequalities for each gate by the values of \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \). \( p_i < p_j \) means the order that the pulse on \( w_i \) precedes the pulse on \( w_j \).

A description of a logic circuit is a collection of the descriptions of all gates. Any SFQ circuit satisfying Conditions 1 and 2 can be described. For example, we describe the circuit in Fig. 1(a) as follows.

\[
d = \text{AND } G_1 (a @ 1, b @ 1, \text{clk} @ 2);
\]

\[
e = \text{AND } G_2 (c @ 1, d @ 1, \text{clk} @ 2);
\]

Because a clock pulse arrives after data inputs, the value attached to “\( \text{clk} \)” is greater than those for “\( a \)”, “\( b \)”, “\( c \)”, and “\( d \)”. The same value 1 for “\( a \)” and “\( b \)”, and “\( c \)” and “\( d \)” means that we give no order between these inputs. Another example is in Fig. 3, which corresponds to the circuit with a
1: // Input: circuit description (composed of \( N \) gates from \( G_1 \) to \( G_N \)),
2: // input sequence \( I_0, I_1, \ldots \) (each for input \( i_k \) at time frames 1 to \( T \))
3: // Output: output sequence
4: //
5: // Definition of \( G_l \): \( o_l = \text{gate}_l \left( G_l(w_{11} @ p_{11}, w_{12} @ p_{12}, \ldots, w_{1k} @ p_{1k}) \right) \);
6: // \( P_l \): the order for “clk” input of \( G_l \) if it exists, otherwise \( \infty \).
7: // \( P_l \): set of pairs of input and order \( \{ w_{l1} @ p_{l1} | p_{l1} > p_{l2} \} \).
8: // \( P_l \): set of pairs of input and order \( \{ w_{l1} @ p_{l1} | p_{l1} \leq p_{l2} \} \).
9: // \( o_l \) corresponds to sequence \( O_l \).
10: // \( w_{l1}, \ldots, w_{lk} \) correspond to sequences \( W_{l1}, \ldots, W_{lk} \), respectively.
11: // Prepare sequences of length \( T \) corresponding to wires in the description.
12: // Initialize all sequences except input sequences (Invalidate all elements of sequences).
13: // for \( t \) from 1 to \( T \) do
14: \( S \leftarrow \{ 1, 2, \ldots, N \} \)
15: while \( S \) is not empty do
16: \( o_l \) \( \leftarrow \) output of \( G_l \).
17: end while
18: end for
19: print output sequences

Fig. 4 Logic simulation algorithm.

3.2 Circuit Simulation in Logic Level

The logical behavior of a circuit is completely specified with the proposed schematic level description. One of the advantages of the description is that we can perform circuit simulation without calculation of transmission delay from the precise layout design.

We show a simulation algorithm for SFQ circuits in Fig. 4 as an application of the proposed description language. For a given description of a circuit and the inputs, simulation for each input data is performed by iteratively calculating outputs of gates whose input values are updated. For each gate, we calculate the internal state according to the order of pulse arrivals.

The calculation progresses in topological order of the gates. The difference from standard simulation algorithm is that the logical values of the inputs are chosen from \((t-1)\)-th and \(t\)-th time frames according to the timing of the signal compared to the clock signal. Thus, the time complexity of the algorithm is \( O(NT) \), where \( N \) denotes the number of gates and \( T \) denotes the number of time frames to simulate.

4. Design Flow for SFQ Logic Circuits

4.1 Proposed Design Flow

We propose a design flow of SFQ logic circuits as shown in Fig. 5. The design flow is based on that for conventional CMOS circuits. The conventional design flow is adapted to SFQ circuit design. Several dedicated components have been developed to capture design issues of SFQ circuits and integrated in this flow.

A functional description of a circuit is given as the design entry. The description is in circuit schematic or in a description language equivalent to schematic. In Sect. 3, we proposed a new timing-aware description which can be used for logic simulation. Detailed design of the clock distribution network is not required in the design entry.

The design is synthesized into a gate-level logic netlist with the support of dedicated synthesis subsystems for SFQ circuits. Our focus in this stage is the methods for clock distribution. As explained in the previous sections, we design flow-clocking circuits. Because time frames of clock cy-
cles are different among logic gates, in order to optimize the clock distribution network, we have to solve combinational problems which are hard to solve by hand. Therefore, we developed optimization algorithms to solve the problems.

The netlist is then processed by placement and routing stages. Optimization of the wiring by placement and routing is the key for high-performance circuit design. Timing-driven algorithms are introduced both in the placement and routing stages. It is also necessary to consider geometry in the synthesis stage. For routing design in particular, the iteration process for optimization is aiming at the timing constraints in the first place.

Along with the design flow from the design entry to the layout, verification of the circuit, especially the verification related to the timing, is important. Because the skewed clock design makes the behavior of SFQ logic circuit complicated, logical errors in timing are easily introduced in the circuits. In our formal design verification method, we deal with an SFQ circuit as a pipelined circuit with skewed clock for each gate and perform comprehensive verification of the circuit behavior. Circuit simulation explained in Sect. 3.2 is also utilized for verification.

We have developed design tools for SFQ logic circuits, based on the proposed design algorithms. Some of them are implemented as extensions on top of the Cadence Virtuoso design environment. The implemented tools include a clock tree synthesis tool which also perform rough global placement, an automatic PTL routing tool, and a logic simulation tool based on the timing-aware circuit description.

In the following, we look into the algorithms developed for each procedure in the design flow.

4.2 Logical Design

The timing behavior of concurrent-flow clocking SFQ circuits can be regarded as a pipeline constructed for exploiting the ultra-fast switching speed. We have been proposed an algorithm for skewed clock scheduling of SFQ logic circuits [9]. We assumed PTLs as the signal wires and showed an algorithm to determine the signal timing for each logic gate of a concurrent-flow clocking circuit, with inserting delays on datapaths (Fig. 6). Splitters denoted as black dots in the figure are used for fan-out and JTLs are used as delay elements.

Once a clock scheduling is obtained, a clock tree to realize the scheduling can be constructed. We restricted the solution space to be searched by the proposed algorithm for efficient computation. Experimental results on sample circuits showed that the proposed algorithm can obtain near optimal solutions.

As the routability and length of PTLs are not negligible, we have to consider geometry of the clock distribution. In the method we proposed in [10], a clock tree to distribute clock signals satisfying the timing restrictions for the whole circuit is calculated. A clock tree without wire intersection is obtained. Because the method takes account of geometry for circuit layout, a linear arrangement of gates in each level is obtained at the same time. This information is to be utilized for the initial placement in the next placement stage.

4.3 Layout Design

Layout design of an SFQ circuit is performed for each logical level. Interconnects between adjacent levels can be implemented by PTLs. However, using PTLs for short interconnects is not efficient, because it requires overheads in timing. Implementing all interconnects by JTLs, on the other hand, is not practical, because the circuit area becomes larger. One reasonable solution is to form clusters of the levels and to use JTLs inside the clusters and PTLs among the clusters. Optimization of the clustering can be done by an algorithm based on dynamic programming, by evaluating the area and delay as the constraint and objective function.

Detailed layout design is done for each logical level or cluster. Using the rough global placement obtained by the clock tree synthesis algorithm, placement of each cell is determined. The constraint comes from the wiring delay and congestion, and the optimization objective is the circuit area.

We have developed a PTL routing method for SFQ circuits based on timing slack allocation [11], [12]. The underlying routing algorithm is the A* algorithm which connects two endpoints by heuristic estimation of the wiring cost. In the proposed routing process shown in Fig. 7, clock nets are routed first. Next, we group clocked gates by their level and route data nets for each level. Before the data net routing, we calculate timing slacks and sort wires ordering by timing slack. Each net is routed incrementally in the order of global/detailed routing. The routing and analysis phases are iterated to optimize the layout. Because the timing requirement is crucial while the routing channel is limited, restrictions coming from the nets which already have routed are taken into account in the analysis phase. Using timing and layout information of routed nets, timing slack of each path is calculated and the slack is assigned to wire segments composing the path. The wire ordering and the congestion map are updated dynamically.
4.4 Design Verification

As we have stated before, a concurrent-flow clocking SFQ circuit can be considered as a pipelined circuit. The simulation method presented in Sect. 3.2 is effective for verifying the circuit behavior in logic level, because we can deal with both logical and temporal relation of signals by the method.

Another method we have proposed for design verification is a formal verification method of pipeline processing behavior of SFQ logic circuits [13]. The design is given as a logic circuit netlist with annotated timing information. The specification is given as logic formulae with temporal information, or as a circuit whose correct operation is guaranteed. If discrepancies of the behavior are detected, they are fed back to designing process, the circuit design is refined, and verification is performed again.

Because the logic gates are clocked, the verification problem cannot be treated in the same way as the case of combinational circuits. Existing verification methods for sequential circuits could be applied, but the number of latches, which is equal to the number of clocked logic gates, can be too large and the methods would not be practical. Therefore, we have proposed a dedicated verification method. In the proposed method, verification of circuits are performed in the following two steps.

**pipeline timing analysis:** We check whether pulses arrive in appropriate clock cycle.

**logic sequence verification:** We check whether the logical sequence of the circuit satisfies the specification.

Let us see examples of design errors which can be detected by the proposed method. Let the circuit to be designed is as illustrated in Fig. 8(a). The circuit in Fig. 8(a) implements logical OR operation at point C using a confluence buffer (CB). We assume concurrent-flow clocking. Because a CB is not clocked, the CB together with the clocked gates in level 2 can be treated as a single pipeline stage. Note that a clocked non-inverting buffer gate is equivalent to a D flip-flop. In the design shown in Fig. 8(b), the logical OR operation at point C is implemented by a clocked OR gate. Though this circuit is similar to the circuit of Fig. 8(a), the implemented logic functions are different because they have different timing behavior. Another design in Fig. 8(c), where the OR gate is driven by clock-follow-data clocking, also has similar circuit structure. A new feedback path is formed in level 2. The logic function is different from that of Fig. 8(b), but can be same as that of 8(a) for a certain initial state.

In order to detect design discrepancy as shown in this example, we deal with the logical structure of the circuit including the timing of pipeline stages. When the circuits contain feedback loops as seen in these examples, the specification described in formulae should contain recurrences. The input and output variables are common, but the internal variables for the cutpoints of the feedback loops does not necessarily match between two sets of formulae. In this case, we have to check that for all possible sequences of input values, output values in each clock cycles of the circuit and those of the specification are identical. It can be proved that checking output values of finite number of clock cycles is sufficient to prove the equivalence, and the necessary number of checked clock cycles depends on the length of the feedback loops.

4.5 Circuit Design Using Developed Tools

To demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed design flow, we designed an 8-bit Kogge-Stone carry lookahead adder along with the design flow, using the developed tools. The design process is shown in Fig. 9. On a logic design in the schematic view, clock tree synthesis is performed...
Fig. 9 Design of a carry lookahead adder. (a) Clock tree synthesis. (b) Placement. (c) Routing.

(Fig. 9(a)). The target frequency is 50 GHz. The 158 logic gates are partitioned into 9 pipeline stages and the gates in each stage are arranged in a row. A clock tree topology and a rough placement of logic gates are obtained. Logic gates are placed (Fig. 9(b)) based on the obtained information, and then the wires are routed (Fig. 9(c)). The circuit is fabricated using ISTEC advanced process 2 (Fig. 10). The circuit consists of 158 logic cells and 594 PTLs. The number of Josephson junctions is 8397 and the area is 3.9 mm $\times$ 3.5 mm. The circuit was fully functional at low speed test, and the partial operation at 50 GHz was confirmed [14], [15].

5. Conclusion

We have been working on design methods for SFQ circuits, and have developed algorithms to cope with design issues specific to SFQ devices. In this paper, we proposed a timing-aware circuit description in logic level and presented a simulation method. Now, we can conclude that we have finally built the fundamental design flow from the design entry in logic level to the physical layout. Our work to integrate the individual algorithms into practical design tools is in progress. Utilization of the timing-aware description to improve design process is also included in our future work.

Because the potential of SFQ devices used as logic circuits is not yet fully unveiled, alternative ways to employ nonconventional circuit structure will be worth challenging. One of our approach is a dual-rail asynchronous logic design [16], [17]. Synthesis of asynchronous logic using graph-based logic expression is effective and this method can be applied for small parts such as control units. Another idea is a synthesis method for sequential circuits [18]. Using one-hot encoding and uniform state machine modules, timing design can be made easier. We are also developing a circuit synthesis method based on clockless logic gates which make synchronization using the timing of data pulses.
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