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SUMMARY  Power consumption has recently emerged as a first class design constraint in system LSI designs. Specially, leakage power has occupied a large part of the total power consumption. Therefore, reduction of leakage power is indispensable for efficient design of high-performance system LSIs. Since 2006, we have carried out a research project called “Innovative Power Control for Ultra Low-Power and High-Performance System LSIs”, supported by Japan Science and Technology Agency as a CREST research program. One of the major objectives of this project is reducing the leakage power consumption of system LSIs by innovative power control through tight cooperation and co-optimization of circuit technology, architecture, and system software designs. In this project, we focused on power gating as a circuit technique for reducing leakage power. Temporal granularity is one of the most important issues in power gating. Thus, we have developed a series of Geysers as proof-of-concept CPUs which provide several mechanisms of fine-grained run-time power gating. In this paper, we describe their concept and design, and explain why co-optimization of different design layers is important. Then, three kinds of power gating implementations and their evaluation are presented from the viewpoint of power saving and temporal granularity.
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1. Introduction

Power consumption has recently emerged as a first class design constraint in system LSI designs. Specially, leakage power has been a large factor in the total power consumption. Therefore, many research efforts have been paid for reducing leakage power so far to develop power-efficient system LSIs.

Many embedded processors and system LSIs provide a mechanism to reduce leakage power in a form of sleep mode which is realized by a power-knob in the hardware. Typically, a large IP block such as a processor core goes into a sleep mode when the system detects a Long idle period. This kind of technique is effective if the entire IP block is in idle. However, as leakage power becomes a dominant factor of total power consumption, run-time leakage power consumption has been a big concern and considered to be addressed.

Traditionally, run-time leakage power-saving techniques have been primarily used in cache memories. Those include cache decay [1] and drowsy cache [2] techniques. Since some of cache lines are not necessarily utilized in a certain duration of program execution, the sleep mode is usually applied to each cache line individually. The granularity of power-knob control in cache memory is spatially fine but temporally coarse.

A logic part in a CPU core could be a target of the run-time sleep mode control. The work in [3] tries to reduce the leakage power of functional units in a CPU core with power-gating technique. Since, the activity of each functional units depends on instructions cycle by cycle, the granularity of power-knob control should be temporally fine.

Dynamic sleep signal generation [4] tries to optimize sleep control dynamically without help of the software. Loop-directed mothballing [5] attempts to increase the accuracy of predicting execution-unit requirements by analyzing innermost loop bodies at runtime. On the contrary, compiler-based schemes [6]–[8], which employ static code analysis or dynamic profiling to identify the time period when a functional unit is not used, have also been proposed.

All of them rely on a technique of a single design layer: architecture or compiler. Furthermore, they are based on simulation work, and there is no trial using a real chip.

Since 2006, we have carried out a research project called “Innovative Power Control for Ultra Low-Power and High-Performance System LSIs”, which is supported by Japan Science and Technology Agency as a CREST research program. One of the objectives of this project is reducing the leakage power consumption of system LSIs by innovative power control realized by tight co-operation and co-optimization of circuit technology, architecture, and system software. In this paper, we discuss several issues for fine-grained run-time power-gating and present the current outcome of the our research project. We also show the tradeoff analysis of fine-grained power gating methods for functional units in a CPU core.
2. Geyser: A platform for Fine-Grained Power Gating

2.1 Fine-Grained Power-Gating

Power-gating with the MTCMOS technology is a well-known technique to reduce leakage power. In MTCMOS, power supply to logic blocks which consist of low-Vth transistors is gated by high-Vth power-switch transistors. This puts power-gated units into sleep mode and no operation can be performed in these units. The power-switch transistors are inserted between a logic block and the \( V_{dd} \) line or between a logic block and the Ground line or both of them.

Figure 1 illustrates an overview of the power-gating circuit when the power-switches are inserted between a logic block and the ground line. When the sleep signal is asserted, the power switch transistors between ground (GND) line and virtual ground (VGND) line becomes off, and consequently power is gated and leakage current is suppressed. We have applied three kinds of power gating implementation whose detailed designs and evaluation are explained and discussed later in Sect. 3.

The mode transition between sleep and wake-up incurs the time and energy overheads due to the sleep signal propagation, power-switch driving, and discharging the electrical charge stored in parasitic capacitance on VGND-line. This is the reason why the granularity of power gating is coarse. However, obviously finer temporal granularity is helpful to enlarge chances of power gating. Therefore, we have challenged the ultimate fine granularity, that is, cycle-by-cycle power gating.

2.2 Dynamic Fine-Grained Power-Gating in Geyser

We have been developing Geyser as a proof-of-concept CPU for run-time fine-grained power gating. The architecture of Geyser CPU core is MIPS R3000 [9], a 32 bit RISC processor widely used in embedded applications. Its instruction pipeline consists of five stages, that is, Instruction Fetch (IF), Instruction Decode (ID), Execution (EX), Memory Access (MEM), and Write Back (WB).

As the target of fine-grained power-gating, we selected functional units in the EX stage, CLU (Common Arithmetic and Logic Unit), Shift unit, Multiplier unit, and Divider unit. Their activity changes cycle by cycle but their average usage ratio is not very high, and thus they are good targets for fine-grained power gating. It is easy to detect whether they are used or not by observing fetched instructions. In Geyser, each functional unit has its own sleep signal which is generated in the sleep controller as shown in Fig. 2. In this way, the spatial granularity of power gating is every functional unit in Geyser.

The other granularity is temporal. In Geyser, all the functional units except CLU are put into sleep mode automatically after the operation of the corresponding unit completes. Whenever an instruction is fetched in the IF stage, the sleep controller detects the functional units used by the instruction. Each functional unit is used in EX stage. However it takes a certain time to wake up a functional unit. Thus, the detection is executed in the IF stage, which allows one cycle latency for the wake-up. As mentioned later, Geyser-2 successfully operates at 200 MHz, which indicates that all the functional units can wake up within 5 nsec. In this way, available temporal granularity of power gating is as fine as every cycle.

However, this naive cycle-by-cycle power gating does not necessarily lead to power reduction because power gating itself consumes power. Therefore, sophisticated and novel power gating strategy is required.

2.3 Break-Even Time of Power Gating

Shutting off functional units as frequent as possible does not necessarily lead to power reduction because power gating itself consumes power. Power consumption under power gating is illustrated in Fig. 3. In this figure, \( E_{\text{sleep}OH} \) and \( E_{\text{wakeup}OH} \) represent energy overhead required to shut off and to wake up a functional unit respectively. \( E_{\text{sleep}}(t) \) indicates...
the amount of reduced energy. It depends on \( t \), which represents how long the functional unit is shut off. Therefore, there exist a certain time period where the amount of reduced leakage energy is equal to the amount of increased energy. This time interval is called Break Even Time or BET in short. Then, the following equation holds.

\[
E_{\text{sleep}}(BET) = E_{\text{sleepOH}} + E_{\text{wakeupOH}}
\]

2.4 Power Gating Control

Power must be shut off for a longer period than BET explained in Sect. 2.3. However, the actual value of BET varies, as discussed in Sect. 4.2 later, between around ten cycles to a couple of hundreds of cycles. Thus, Geyser provides the following two control mechanisms of power gating.

- Power Gating under Cache Miss
- Power Gating directed by Compiler

The first one is cache-miss triggered power gating. Geyser is an in-order processor and its instruction pipeline is stalled when instruction or data cache misses. Then, it is expected that all the functional units will not be used as long as cache miss penalty when cache miss occurs. Usually cache miss penalty is quite long, this control is effective even for long BET.

The second one is effective for short BET. As mentioned in Sect. 2.3, sleep period should be longer than BET. Thus, it is necessary to find out how long each functional unit will not be used. We propose this compiler directed power gating based on the observation that compiler which schedules instructions can find out it. The detailed mechanisms is as follows.

Figure 4 shows an example of instructions with the PG direction support. In the MIPS R3000 ISA, when the high order 6 bits (op-code) are “000000”, the operation is applied with the two registers shown in operand fields and the type of operation is indicated with the low order 6 bits. We use the high order 6 bits to indicate PG direction. After executing instructions whose high 6 bits are “000000”, the power supply to the target functional unit is cut off. Meanwhile, after executing instructions whose high order 6 bits are “100111”, which is not define in the original ISA, the supply of power to the target functional unit is sustained. The supply of power is sustained until executing the next instruction whose function is the same but the high order 6 bits are “000000”.

We have proposed compiler directed PG technique [10] which analyzes the assembly code of a program and predicts the idle time of each functional unit after execution. According to this information, each functional unit is selected to be kept on or turned off after execution. More precisely, if the predicted idle time of an instruction is shorter than the target BET, the compiler sets the op-code “100111” so that sustain the supply of power to target functional after the execution. Note that we do not have to wake up the functional units by instructions because they are known to be used when the instructions are fetched and will be waken up by the sleep controller shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, the roll of the compiler is to determine when to turn the functional units off by compiler analysis.

The advantage of the proposed technique is that there is no need to add an additional hardware for prediction because the prediction of the idle length is made statically during the compile time. Because the BET is predicted to become shorter in the future [11], applying power gating in a fine-grained time scale becomes more effective. However, in order to apply power gating effectively, we have to precisely predict the idle time of the target units.

2.5 Collaboration of Design Layers on Granularity of Power-Gating

In order to reduce power consumption effectively, the following two features must be realized.

- BET must be shortened.
- Power must be shut off for a period longer than the BET

As BET is the minimum temporal granularity of power gating, shorter BET leads to more chances of power gating.
Therefore, how to shorten the BET is very important for energy saving. BET heavily depends on the circuit design, especially the design of power gating. On the other hand, BET also depends on the environment, or more specifically, the temperature. For higher temperature, leakage power increases significantly and thus \( E_{\text{deep}} \) also increases and BET gets short.

The actual value of BET is, as discussed in Sect. 4.2 later, varies between around ten cycles to hundreds of cycles. Under this wide variety of BET, we have to keep the condition that sleep period is longer than BET. Then, who is responsible to keep the condition? Our solution is as follows.

First, for a given BET, architecture should be responsible for the best power gating control because architecture layer can observe and control the activity of a functional unit tens of cycles from now on. More specifically, compiler inserts PG directions by assuming a certain BET and PG strategy follows the Policy-1 described in Sect. 2.4. For long BET, as it is hard for compiler to identify long idle of functional units, Policy-2 is selected.

Second, OS or system software should be responsible for dynamic change of BET. More specifically, if the actual BET is shorter than that assumed by compiler, then PG strategy follows the Policy-1. If the actual BET is longer than compiler assumption but shorter than cache miss penalty, then PG strategy follows the Policy-2. Otherwise, Policy-3 is selected. OS changes the policy by updating the state register explained in Sect. 2.4. As the temporal granularity of temperature variation, in other word BET variation, is quite coarse, in the order of several milliseconds, OS can manage the change of PG strategy. The remaining issue is how to obtain the actual BET during execution. For this purpose, we have developed a leakage monitor [12].

This leakage monitor measures the actual leakage current. The relationship between the leakage current and BET is calibrated beforehand and referred by OS. In this way, we propose an effective run-time power-gating strategy based on tight co-operation and co-optimization of circuit technology, architecture, and system software as shown in Fig. 6.

3. Implementation Methods of Fine-Grained PG

Fine-grained PG is a key technique of this project. Here, three fine-grained PG techniques are compared when they are used for run-time PG of functional units in Geyser CPU.

3.1 Cell-Based PG

Cell-based FG-PG is the most flexible PG method originally proposed as a Locally-Shared Virtual ground scheme [13]. As shown in Fig. 7, a certain number of special standard cells (PG cells), in which the virtual ground (VGND) is separated from the real ground are connected with the same VGND line, and they are shut-off with a sleep transistor. Because existing ground rail in standard cell is used as the real ground, non-PG cells such as power switch drivers and isolation cells can be placed at any location. Since the size of power domain and the number of sleep transistors can be controlled freely, quick wake-up is possible if needed.

However, for this flexibility, a set of customized cells are needed for making a VGND pin inside each cell, and newly make a timing library for these cells. In general, it is difficult to modify all standard cells into PG cells because of the limitation of cost and design time. Moreover, additional area overhead caused by the VGND lines in modified cells becomes a problem during the implementation stage. The cell structure including VGND lines sometimes requires to stretch the cell height, and also increases the possibility of routing competition between signal wires.

Since modified cells and power switches are mixed with standard cells, the specialized design flow of layout is needed [14]. After the placement and routing of the layout, power switches are inserted by using Sequence Design’s CoolPower [15]. Then, routing for power switches are done by using the routing function of the layout tool again.

3.2 Row-Based PG

Like cell-based PG, sleep transistors in the row-based PG
are aligned with other standard cells as shown in Fig. 8. Unlike the cell-based PG, the location of the switch cells must be the next to the GND strips, and columns consisting of only switch cells are formed. The power domain is formed in segments of rows between power switch columns. Each power switch only has to manage power to a small segment of the standard cell row thereby minimizing any potential voltage drop problem as well as the cell-based PG. On the other hand, the power switched cells cannot be aligned with normal cells. Thus, the flexibility of cell placement is less than that in cell-based.

In row-based PG, specialized cells with virtual GND are not required. Thus, the routing competition between signal wires does not occur. Also, the standard design method with Synopsys’s UPF (Unified Power Format) can be used for the layout.

3.3 Ring-Based PG

Ring-based PG is a commonly used for coarse-grained PG, but applicable to fine-grained PG if the electrical problems can be solved. The target PG domain is surrounded with a VGND ring shown in Fig. 9, and power switches are placed on the outside of VGND ring. Since entire power gated cells share a single VGND line, the instantaneous current from VGND to real ground is large enough to create inductively voltage fluctuations called ground bounce in the wake-up stage. Ground bounce not only has bad effort to neighboring circuits which are executing normal operations, but also makes wake-up latency longer. To resolve this problem, we apply a structure in which power switches are turned in a non-uniform stepwise manner by inserting a buffer tree to sleep control signal to reduce the magnitude of peak current [16].

Like row-based PG, ring-based PG allows the use of a normal standard cell library in the design implementation and the rail VGND distribution. Also, the UPF based design method can be used [17].

4. Design and Evaluation

4.1 Design Environment and Simulation Setup

To simulate the PG effect, Fujitsu e-shuttle 65nm cell library is used for implementing the four functional units: ALU, shifter, multiplier and divider of Geyser-1 with each implementation method, and unified power format (UPF) based design flow is used to create each power domain and insert or optimize the power switches for row-based and ring-based PG. The design tools and simulation setup are shown in Table 1. The operation frequency is set to be 200 MHz, and thus it means that wake-up latency must be within 5 ns. Electric characteristics are evaluated by circuit level simulation, and the total leakage reduction when application programs run is calculated with the results of the logic level simulation and the circuit level simulation.

4.2 Evaluation Results

4.2.1 Electric Characteristics

Figure 10 shows the area of each functional unit. It shows that row-based and ring-based PG can be implemented on about a half area of the cell-based PG due to the form of VGND routing. The difference between ring-based and row-based PG mainly comes from the overhead of buffer trees. Wake-up latency is shown in Fig. 11. Due to the limitation of standard cell placer in row-based PG and ground
bounced in ring-based PG, their wake-up latency is longer than that of cell-based, however both methods can achieve within 5ns by resizing power switches and adjusting buffer trees.

Since sleep-down and wake-up require a certain power consumption as well as the power for sleep control, fine-grained runtime PG has a risk to increase the power consumption if the sleep time is too short to compensate such overhead. Break Even Time (BET) which was introduced in previous sections is a useful measure for energy control. As shown in Fig. 12, BET at 25°C of each functional module is shorter with the row-based PG than with other two methods. That is, row-based PG has most chances that can sleep down with the leakage reduction. On the other hand, ring-based PG, in which power consumption of buffer trees increases the total power overhead, has much longer BET.

4.2.2 Leakage Power Reduction

For evaluation of leakage power reduction during program execution, we run five programs for the embedded system: QSORT, JPEG encoding, Dijkstra, Blowfish and CRC on Geyser. Figure 13 shows the result of leakage reduction with each benchmark. All three methods can reduce leakage power by about 25% in JPEG encoding that multiplier and divider are used to some extent. On the other hand, in remaining benchmarks which use multiplier and divider less frequently, more than 50% of leakage power can be reduced. Since leakage consumption in ring-based PG is much lower than other methods during long-sleep period, there is no big difference in leakage reduction even though BET is much worse than others.

5. Real Chip Implementation

The evaluation results in the previous section suggest that row based method or ring based method is advantageous except the wake-up time. However, we used the cell based method in our first two prototype chips: Geyser-1 [14] and Geyser-2 [18] because of the following reasons. (1) Wake-up time is the most important issue for keeping correct operation of the CPU. (2) UPF based design was not available when the design of Geyser-1 started.

They were implemented on the same a 1.2 mm × 2.4 mm die. The utilized chip process, library and design tools are the same as shown in Table 1. Figure 14 shows the chip photograph of Geyser-1. The main purpose of Geyser-1 is to examine the operation of the cell based PG and evaluate its effect with a real chip. Thus, it only provides the core CPU, and does not provide cache memory modules. As shown in Fig. 14, functional units are distributed on the chip. In Geyser-1, MULT module is larger than DIV module because of its high speed operation unlike DIV module which is designed with enough number of clock cycles. Since each instruction must be carried from outside the chip, the operational frequency is limited into 60 MHz.

The evaluation with the real chip reveals as follows: (1) The cell based PG used in Geyser-1 reduces the total power consumption of the processor core by 3%–8% at 25°C and 17%–29% at 80°C when benchmark programs run. (2) BETs of functional units are 640 (DIV) to 1080 (CLU) at 25°C, and 80 (DIV) to 100 (CLU) at 100°C.

The power reduction effect of the real chip were smaller than those of the circuit simulation. One reason is that the leakage power of the non-PG cells in real chips is much smaller than the circuit level evaluation by the improvement of the process. The next reason is that the optimization using CoolPower does not work well because of the lack of the experience.

Although Geyser-1 was the first real CPU chip with the run-time PG in the world, the operational frequency was not practical. Our second chip Geyser-2 provides the cache memory so that it can work at 200 MHz clock.

In order to reduce the wiring delay, Geyser-2 was implemented in the left half part of the chip (Fig. 15, but we must use the same chip size as Geyser-1 in order to keep
enough number of I/O pins. Geyser-2 works with 210 MHz clock at maximum, and it is examined that all functional units can be activated from the sleep state with a clock cycle. That is, the wake-up time of the cell based PG is enough small. The leakage power reduction effect was improved compared with Geyser-1, but not so much. Detail evaluation results are shown in [19].

Since the evaluation results suggest that the row based or ring based PG is better than the cell based PG, and the design environment using UPF is available, we tried to implement Geyser-3 by using the ring based PG. Geyser-3 provides TLBs and the co-processor for Linux operating system and a wireless interconnect mechanism for inter-chip communication.

As shown in Fig. 16, Geyser-3 CPU is also implemented in the left half part of the chip, and the right half part is used for test circuits of wireless interconnect. Although both Linux and Kaimon, the embedded operating system, are working on Geyser-3, the ring based power gating does not work because of a design problem on triple well structure.

Linux and Kaimon have a process scheduler based on BET with performance counter monitoring the power gating states in Geyser. The process scheduler controls the PG policy register to reduce power consumption. The current chip is mounted on an FPGA mother board including main memory, secondary memory such as compact flash, serial line and other I/Os. OSs and application programs are running on the board (Fig. 17) to evaluate the architecture. We have solved the problem, and the re-made version of Geyser-3 will be available in September, 2012. We will evaluate the real chip, and demonstrate the effect of integrated power control with collaboration of design layer.

6. Conclusions

A co-optimization of circuit technology, architectures and system software designs on fine-grained runtime power gating for functional units in an embedded CPU is introduced. Three power gating methods: cell based, row based and ring based are evaluated and compared when they are used in a run-time power gating of functional units in an embedded CPU. Simulation results suggested that from the viewpoint of wake-up time, the cell based method is advantageous, while area and reduction of the leakage power of row based and ring based are better than those of the cell based.

Geyser-1 and Geyser-2 implemented with the cell based PG demonstrated the effect of fine-grained runtime power gating with running benchmark programs. Geyser-3 with the ring based PG will be available soon, and we will and demonstrate the effect of integrated power control with collaboration of design layer with a real chip.
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