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1. Introduction

Recently, the refractive index can be easily controlled by designing periodic structures such as optoelectronic devices, photonic bandgap crystals, frequency selective devices, and other applications by the development of manufacturing technology of optical devices. Thus, the scattering and guiding problems of the inhomogeneous gratings have been of considerable interest, and many analytical and numerical methods which are applicable to the dielectric gratings having an arbitrarily periodic structures with combination of dielectric and/or metallic materials [1]–[5]. However, analysis of the dielectric grating [2]–[5] with metallic materials is only homogeneous type except [1]. Tamia et al. [1] proposed the modal transmission-line theory of multilayered grating structure with inhomogeneous region, in which the metallic region is not perfectly conducting type. For the inhomogeneous region, we have also analyzed the scattering and guiding problems by utilizing an improved Fourier series expansion method [6], and multilayer method [7], [8] and, in the perfectly conducting strip, we also analyzed the scattering problems by utilizing Point Matching Method [9], [10].

Although the Point Matching Method has wide range of applicability for the scattering problems with the perfectly conducting strips in homogeneous media, the order of the matrix size for the simultaneous equation depends on the number of strip layers because of the convergence domain of Point Matching Method [9].

In this paper, we proposed a new method for the scattering of electromagnetic waves by inhomogeneous dielectric gratings with conducting strips [11]–[14] using the combination of improved Fourier series expansion method and Point Matching Method. The order of the matrix size for the simultaneous equation depends on the modal truncation number, but it does not depend on number of strip layers using matrix formulations.

Numerical results are given for the transmitted scattered characteristics for the case of periodically loaded with parallel perfectly conducting strips for TE and TM cases. The effects of the inhomogeneous dielectric gratings compared with that of the slanted angle of the perfectly conducting strips on the transmitted power are discussed. Our approach also can treat periodic configurations having arbitrary combinations of dielectric, metallic, and perfectly conducting components [19].

2. Method of Analysis

We consider inhomogeneous dielectric gratings loaded with parallel perfectly conducting strips shown in Fig.1. The grating is uniform in the y-direction and the permittivity \( \varepsilon(x, y) \) is an arbitrary periodic function of \( x \).

The permeability \( \mu \) is assumed to be \( \mu_0 \) in the free space. The time dependence \( \exp(-i\omega t) \) is suppressed throughout.

2.1 TE Wave

When the TE wave (the electric field has only the \( y \)-component)

\[
E_y^{(i)} = e^{ik_0(z \sin \theta_0 - x \cos \theta_0)}, \quad k_0 = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0},
\]

is assumed to be incident from \( x < 0 \) at the angle \( \theta_0 \), the electric fields in the regions \( S_1 (x \leq 0) \), and \( S_3 (x \geq D) \) are expressed [10] as

\[
S_1 (x \leq 0):
E_y^{(i)} = E_y^{(i)} + e^{ik_0 \sin \theta_0} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} a_n e^{-ik_0(x + 2\pi nz/p)}
\]

\[
S_3 (x \geq D):
E_y^{(i)} = e^{ik_0 \sin \theta_0} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} b_n e^{ik_0(x - D + 2\pi nz/p)}
\]

\[
H_y^{(i)} = (\omega \mu_0)^{-1} \partial E_y^{(i)}/\partial x, \quad (j = 1, 3),
\]
where, 

$$A^{(l)} \doteq \begin{bmatrix} u_n^{(L)} \\ d_n^{(L)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_n^{(L)}, \cdots, u_n^{(L)} \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad T: \text{ transpose},$$

$$A^{(l)} \doteq \begin{bmatrix} a_n^{(l)}, a_n^{(l)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_n^{(l)} \doteq \pm k_0^2 \Delta \bar{e}_{n,m} - (2\pi n/p + k_0 \sin \theta_0)^2,$$

$$e_n^{(l)} \doteq \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p (e_n^{(l)}(z)) e^{j2\pi(n-m)z/p} dz.$$

In the inhomogeneous regions, we obtain the relationship between, \(A^{(1)}, B^{(1)}\) in the first layer and, \(A^{(M)}, B^{(M)}\) in the end of layer using boundary condition at \(x = -ld_\Delta\) \((l = 1 \sim M - 1)\).

$$E^{(l)} = E^{(l)}_{1+z} \quad H^{(l)} = H^{(l)}_{1+z} \quad (l = 1 \sim M) \quad (6)$$

In general, the matrices \(A\) of in Eq. (5) are not Hermitian and the orthogonality relations for \([u^{(l)}]\) are not expected.

Therefore substitution of Eq. (4) into Eq. (6) yields, using the orthogonality properties of \(\{e^{j2\pi n z/p}\}\), the following matrix equations [8]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{(1)} \\ B^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_1^{(1)} & S_1^{(2)} \\ S_2^{(1)} & S_2^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^{(M)} \\ B^{(M)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_3 & S_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^{(M)} \\ B^{(M)} \end{pmatrix},$$

(7)

where \(S_k^{(l)} \doteq \begin{bmatrix} (i)^{l-k} \bar{J}_{n,v} \\ (i)^{l-k} \bar{J}_{n,v} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 1 \sim 4, \quad l = 1 \sim M \)

(8)

Next we obtain the matrix form for the relations between the metallic region \(C\) and the dielectric region \(\bar{C}\) shown in Fig. 2 using Point Matching Method [9] at the matching points

$$Z_j = (j - 1)p/((2N + 1)); \quad j = 1 \sim (2N + 1)$$

(9)

The boundary condition for one period \((0 \leq x < p)\) on \(x = 0\) and \(x = D\) are as follows:

$$Z_j \in C_1; \quad [E^{(1)}_{x=0} = 0, E^{(2)}_{x=0} = 0],$$

$$Z_j \in C_2; \quad [E^{(1)}_{x=D} = E^{(2)}_{x=D} = 0],$$

$$Z_j \in C_3; \quad [H^{(1)}_{x=0} = 0, E^{(3)}_{x=0} = 0],$$

$$Z_j \in C_4; \quad [H^{(2)}_{x=D} = 0, E^{(3)}_{x=D} = 0],$$

(10)

$$Z_j \in C_5; \quad [E^{(2)}_{x=x_0} = 0, H^{(3)}_{x=x_0} = 0],$$

$$Z_j \in C_6; \quad [E^{(2)}_{x=D} = H^{(3)}_{x=D} = 0].$$

Substitution of Eqs. (1)–(4) into Eqs. (9)–(12) yields the following equations.

$$1 + \sum_{n=-N}^N a_n e^{j2\pi n z} = 0$$

(11)

$$H^{(2)}_{x} = H^{(3)}_{x} = 0$$

(12)

$$H^{(2)}_{x} = H^{(3)}_{x} = 0$$

(13)
\[ \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} \left[ A_v^{(1)} + B_v^{(1)} e^{imZ_j} \right] \sum_{n=-N}^N u_n^{(1)} e^{imZ_j} = 0 \] (14)

for \( Z_j \in C_1 \).

\[ 1 + \sum_{n=-N}^N a_ne^{imZ_j} = \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} \left[ A_v^{(1)} + B_v^{(1)} e^{imZ_j} \right] \sum_{n=-N}^N u_n^{(1)} e^{imZ_j} \] (15)

\[ k_0^{(1)} = \sum_{n=-N}^N \sum_{m=-N}^N (k_m^{(1)} + k_v^{(1)} - k_0^{(1)}) a_me^{imZ_j} \] (16)

for \( Z_j \in C_1 \).

\[ \sum_{n=-N}^N b_ne^{imZ_j} = 0 \] (17)

\[ \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} \left[ A_v^{(M)} e^{imZ_j} + B_v^{(M)} \right] \sum_{m=-N}^N (M) u_m^{(M)} e^{imZ_j} = 0 \] (18)

for \( Z_j \in C_2 \).

\[ \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} \left[ A_v^{(M)} e^{imZ_j} + B_v^{(M)} \right] (M) u_m^{(M)} e^{imZ_j} = 0 \] (19)

\[ \sum_{n=-N}^N b_ne^{imZ_j} = \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} \left[ A_v^{(M)} e^{imZ_j} + B_v^{(M)} \right] \sum_{m=-N}^N (M) u_m^{(M)} e^{imZ_j} \] (20)

for \( Z_j \in C_2 \).

In the Eq. (15) and Eq. (19) in an electric field satisfied in all matching points around one period. Therefore using the orthogonality properties of \( \{\lambda^{2m+1}\} \) we obtained \( a_n \) in Eq. (2) and \( b_n \) in Eq. (3) are obtained as follows:

\[ a_n = \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} \left[ A_v^{(1)} + B_v^{(1)} e^{imZ_j} \right] \delta(n-1) \] (21)

\[ b_n = \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} \left[ A_v^{(M)} e^{imZ_j} + B_v^{(M)} \right] u_n^{(1)} \] (22)

Substitution of Eq. (21) and Eq. (22) into Eq. (15) and Eq. (19) yields, including metallic region \( C \) in Eq. (14) and Eq. (18), following equations with \( A_v^{(1)} \) and \( B_v^{(1)} \) (\( l = 1, M \)).

\[ Z_j \in C_1 : \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} A_v^{(1)} \sum_{m=-N}^N u_m^{(1)} e^{imZ_j} = 0 \] (23)

\[ Z_j \in C_2 : \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} A_v^{(M)} \sum_{m=-N}^N u_m^{(M)} e^{imZ_j} = 0 \] (24)

To combine with the matrix by the boundary region \( C \) and \( C \), we defined following matrices:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ e^{-iNZ_j} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_j \in C_k \end{bmatrix} \] (25)

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ e^{-i0Z_j} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_j \in \overline{C}_k \end{bmatrix} \] (26)

By using new matrix relationship between Eq. (25) and Eq. (26), we get following equation in the boundary condition at Eq. (14), Eq. (16), Eq. (18) and Eq. (20) in regard to \( A_v^{(1)} \), \( B_v^{(1)} \), \( A_v^{(M)} \), and \( B_v^{(M)} \).

\[ Q_1 A^{(1)} + Q_2 B^{(1)} = F, \] (27)

\[ Q_1 A^{(M)} + Q_3 B^{(M)} = 0. \] (28)

where \( F = \begin{bmatrix} (Z_k \in C_1, 2\delta_0^{(1)} (Z_k \in \overline{C}_1) \end{bmatrix} \).

\[ A^{(k)} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{(k)} \ldots A_{2N+1}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}^T, k = 1, M, \]

\[ B^{(k)} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} B_1^{(k)} \ldots B_{2N+1}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}^T, k = 1, M, \]

\[ Q_1 \equiv X^{(1)} U^{(1)} + K^{(1)} \overline{X}^{(1)} U^{(1)} + \overline{X}^{(1)} H^{(1)} \]

\[ Q_3 \equiv X^{(M)} U^{(M)} H^{(M)} + K^{(M)} \overline{X}^{(M)} U^{(M)} + \overline{X}^{(M)} H^{(M)} \]

\[ K^{(k)} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} k_m^{(k)} \delta_{nm} \end{bmatrix}, k = 1 \sim \{2N+1\}, n = -N \sim N. \]

By using matrix relationship Eq. (7),
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
A^{(1)} \\
B^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
S_1 & S_2 \\
S_3 & S_4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A^{(M)} \\
B^{(M)}
\end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
we get the following homogeneous matrix equation in regard to \(A^{(M)}\) (\(v = 1 \sim 2N + 1\)).

\[
W \bullet A^{(M)} = F,
\]
where \(W = Q_1S_1 + Q_2S_2 - (Q_3S_3 + Q_2S_4)Q_1^{-1}Q_3\).

An advantage of our method, the order of the matrix size for the simultaneous equation depends on the modal truncation number, but it does not depend on number of strip layers using matrix formulations.

The mode power transmission coefficients \(\rho^{(TE)}_t\) and reflection coefficients \(\rho^{(TE)}_r\) are given by

\[
\rho^{(TE)}_t = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \text{Re}[k^{(3)}_n] |b_n|^2 / \|b_0\|^2,
\]
\[
\rho^{(TE)}_r = -\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \text{Re}[k^{(1)}_n] |a_n|^2 / \|b_0\|^2.
\]

The energy error \(\varepsilon^{(TE)}_r\) for TE case is

\[
\varepsilon^{(TE)}_r = 1 - (\rho^{(TE)}_t + \rho^{(TE)}_r).
\]

### 2.2 TM Wave

When the TM wave (the magnetic field has only the y-component)

\[
H_y^{(i)} = e^{i\kappa_0(z \sin \theta_0 - x \cos \theta_0)}, \quad k_0 = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0},
\]
is assumed to be incident from \(x < 0\) at the angle \(\theta_0\), the electric fields in the regions \(S_1 (x \leq 0)\), and \(S_3 (x \geq D)\) are expressed [10] as \(S_1 (x \leq 0)\):

\[
H_y^{(1)} = H_y^{(i)} + e^{i\kappa_0 \sin \theta_0} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} a_n e^{i(k_0^2 \sin \theta_0^2 x + 2\pi n \cos \theta_0)}
\]
\[
S_3 (x \geq D):
\]

\[
H_y^{(3)} = e^{i\kappa_0 \sin \theta_0} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} b_n e^{i(k_0^2 \sin \theta_0^2 (x-D) + 2\pi n \cos \theta_0)}
\]

\[
E_z^{(j)} = (i\omega \epsilon_0 f_j^{-1}) \partial H_y^{(j)} / \partial x, \quad (j = 1, 3),
\]

where \(k_0 = \sqrt{k_0 \epsilon_0 / \epsilon_0 - (2\pi n / p + k_0 \sin \theta_0)^2}\).

The electromagnetic fields are expanded appropriately by a finite Fourier series as follows:

\[
S_2 (0 < x < D):
\]

\[
H_y^{(2,1)} = \sum_{v=1}^{2N+1} A_v^{(1)} e^{i\kappa_0^v \sin \theta_0} + B_v^{(1)} e^{i\kappa_0^v (x-\Delta)} f_\gamma^{(0)}(z)
\]

\[
E_z^{(2,1)} = -(i\omega \epsilon_0^v(z))^{-1} \partial H_y^{(2,1)} / \partial x, \quad (l = 1 \sim M)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A^{(1)} =
\begin{pmatrix}
S_1^{(1)} \\
S_2^{(1)} \\
S_3^{(1)} \\
S_4^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A^{(M)} \\
B^{(M)}
\end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(A^{(1-M)}, B^{(1-M)}, a_n\) and \(b_n\) are unknown coefficients to be determined from boundary conditions. \(\hat{h}_n^{(1)}\) and \(u_n^{(1)}\) are the propagation constant and eigenvectors, respectively.

In the same way for TE case, we have obtained the following eigenvalue equation in regard to \(h^{(3)}\) [8].

\[
\Lambda^{(0)} U^{(0)} = \left[h^{(3)}_n\right]^2 U^{(0)}
\]

where,

\[
U^{(0)} = \left[u^{(0)}_n\right] = \left[u^{(0)}_0, \ldots, u^{(0)}_0, u^{(0)}_0, \ldots, u^{(0)}_0\right]^T, \quad T: \text{transpose}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\Lambda^{(0)} & = \left[a^{(0)}_{n,m}\right]^{-1} \left[h^{(0)}_{n,m}\right], \quad g_n = (2\pi n / p + k_0 \sin \theta_0) \\
\alpha^{(0)}_{n,m} & = 1 / p \int_0^p \left(\frac{E_z^{(2)}(z)}{E_0}\right) e^{i2\pi(n-\nu)z/p} dz \\
\nu & = m, n = (-N, \ldots, 0, \ldots, N),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{(0)}_{n,m} & = 1 / p \int_0^p \left(\frac{E_z^{(2)}(z)}{E_0}\right) e^{i2\pi(n-\nu)z/p} dz \\
\nu & = m, n = (-N, \ldots, 0, \ldots, N),
\end{align*}
\]

For the TM case, if \(E_z^{(2)}(z)\) contains discontinuity, such as the step function, matrix elements \(\alpha^{(0)}_{n,m}[2\pi(n-m)/p]\) do not converge. Therefore this method cannot be directly applied to the step distribution.

To solve this difficulty, the function containing the discontinuity is approximated by finite Fourier series of \(N_f\) terms as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{(2)}_n(z) & = \sum_{n=-N_f}^{N_f} \tau_n e^{i2\pi n z / p}
\end{align*}
\]

The Gibb’s phenomenon occurs at the discontinuities of permittivity, but it does not affect the solution as long as a sufficiently large number of modes for the electromagnetic fields [15]. So we have experienced that the relation \(N = 1.5N_f\) is sufficient to get the proper solution when \(N\) and \(N_f\) are increased [7].

In the boundary conditions at \(x = -\Delta d\) \((l = 1 \sim M - 1)\),

\[
\begin{align*}
H_y^{(2,1)} |_{x=-\Delta d}^{x=\Delta d} = E_z^{(2,1)} |_{x=-\Delta d}^{x=\Delta d}
\end{align*}
\]

we can obtain the relationship between \(A^{(1)}, B^{(1)}\) in the first layer and, \(A^{(M)}, B^{(M)}\) in the end of unit layer using the orthogonality properties of \(e^{i2\pi n z / p}\), and for the electric components of Eq. (40) by multiplying by \(\varepsilon^{(2)}_n(z) e^{-i2\pi n z / p}/\varepsilon_0\) and integrating with respect to over the interval 0 \(\leq z < p\).

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
A^{(1)} \\
B^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
S_1^{(1)} \\
S_2^{(1)} \\
S_3^{(1)} \\
S_4^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A^{(M)} \\
B^{(M)}
\end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
where \( S_k^{(l)} \equiv \{ f_i^{(l)} \}_{m=1}^{N} \), \( f_i^{(l+1)} \equiv f_i^{(l)} + \frac{1}{2} \), \( f_i^{(l+1)} \equiv f_i^{(l)} - \frac{1}{2} \), and \( \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j} = 0 \) for \( n = 1 \) to \( M \).

Using the orthogonality properties of \( \{ e^{i2\pi x}/\lambda \} \) by a boundary condition around one period in an electric field of the Eq. (45) and Eq. (49) by multiplying by \( e^{i2\pi x}/\lambda \) are as follows

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} k_0^{(1)} \alpha_n^{(1)} \theta_n \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_n^{(1)} \theta_n^{(1)} \\ \alpha_n^{(1)} \theta_n^{(2)} \end{array} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ A_0^{(1)} - B_0^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j} \right] u_n^{(1)} \nonumber
\]

We also obtain the matrix form combination of metallic region \( C \) and the dielectric region \( C \) by using boundary condition \( Z_j = (j-1)p/(2N+1) \); \( j = 1 \) to \( 2N+1 \) at the matching points on \( x = 0 \) and \( D \) for TM case.

Boundary condition using Point Matching are as follows:

\[
Z_j \in C_j \left[ E_z^{(1)} = 0, E_z^{(2)} = 0 \right] \quad \text{at} \quad x = 0
\]

\[
k_0^{(1)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} k_n^{(1)} a_n e^{i\alpha n Z_j} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x = 0
\]

\[
h_v^{(1)} \left[ A_0^{(1)} - B_0^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j} \right] \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x = 0
\]

\[
Z_j \in C_j \left[ H_v^{(1)} = H_v^{(2)} \right] \quad \text{at} \quad x = 0
\]

\[
1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n e^{i\alpha n Z_j} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ A_0^{(1)} + B_0^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j} \right] u_n^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \left[ k_0^{(1)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} k_n^{(1)} a_n e^{i\alpha n Z_j} \right] = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2^{(1)}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} h_v^{(1)} \left[ A_0^{(1)} - B_0^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j} \right] u_n^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j}
\]

\[
Z_j \in C_j \left[ E_z^{(2M)} = 0, E_z^{(3)} = 0 \right] \quad \text{at} \quad D
\]

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} k_n^{(3)} b_n e^{i\alpha n Z_j} = 0
\]

\[
h_v^{(1)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_0^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j} = 0
\]

\[
Z_j \in C_j \left[ H_v^{(2M)} = H_v^{(3)} \right] \quad \text{at} \quad D
\]

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n e^{i\alpha n Z_j} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ A_0^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j} + B_0^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j} \right] u_n^{(1)} e^{i\alpha n Z_j}
\]

In the same way for TE wave, substitution of Eq. (50) and Eq. (51) into Eq. (44) and Eq. (48) yields, including metallic region in Eq. (43) and Eq. (47), following equations with

\[
K^{(1)} a^{(1)} = K_0^{(1)} a^{(1)} - U^{(1)} A^{(1)} + U^{(1)} D^{(1)} B^{(1)}
\]

\[
K^{(M)} a^{(M)} = U^{(M)} H^{(M)} D^{(M)} A^{(M)} - U^{(M)} H^{(M)} D^{(M)} B^{(M)}
\]

where

\[
a_0 \Theta [a_n, a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_N] \quad \text{T}; \quad T \text{ transpose}
\]

\[
b_0 \Theta [b_n, b_{n+1}, \ldots, b_M] \quad \text{T}
\]

\[
A^{(k)} \Theta [A_1^{(k)}, A_2^{(k)}, \ldots, A_{2(N+1)}^{(k)}] \quad k = 1, 1
\]

\[
B^{(k)} \Theta [B_1^{(k)}, B_2^{(k)}, \ldots, B_{2(N+1)}^{(k)}] \quad k = 1, 1
\]

\[
K^{(k)} \Theta [k_n^{(k)} \cdot \delta_{n,m}] \quad K_0^{(1)} \Theta [k_0^{(1)} \cdot \delta_{0,m}] \quad H^{(k)} \Theta [h_0^{(k)} \cdot \delta_{n,m}] \quad D^{(k)} \Theta [h_n^{(k)} \cdot \delta_{n,m}]
\]

\[
k = 1, 3, l = 1, M, \quad \nu = 1 \text{ to } 2(N+1), \quad m = -N \text{ to } N
\]

We get also the following matrix form in regard to \( a \) and \( b \).

\[
a = \left[ K^{(1)} a^{(1)} \right]^{-1} \left[ K_0^{(1)} a^{(1)} - U^{(1)} A^{(1)} + U^{(1)} D^{(1)} B^{(1)} \right]
\]

\[
= \left[ K^{(1)} a^{(1)} \right]^{-1} \left[ K_0^{(1)} a^{(1)} - \left[ K^{(1)} a^{(1)} \right]^{-1} U^{(1)} A^{(1)} + \left[ K^{(1)} a^{(1)} \right]^{-1} U^{(1)} D^{(1)} B^{(1)} \right]
\]

\[
= K^{(1)} a^{(1)} \quad \text{T} \quad U^{(1)} D^{(1)} B^{(1)}
\]

\[
b = \left[ K^{(M)} a^{(M)} \right]^{-1} \left[ U^{(M)} H^{(M)} D^{(M)} A^{(M)} - U^{(M)} H^{(M)} D^{(M)} B^{(M)} \right]
\]

\[
= P^{(1)} A^{(1)} + P^{(2)} B^{(1)} + R
\]

where

\[
P^{(1)} \Theta - K^{(1)} a^{(1)} \quad U^{(1)}
\]

\[
P^{(2)} \Theta K^{(1)} a^{(1)} \quad U^{(1)}
\]

\[
P^{(3)} \Theta K^{(M)} a^{(M)} \quad U^{(M)} H^{(M)} D^{(M)}
\]
The cases of parameters chosen are as follows in Fig. 3:

\[
\begin{align*}
P^{(4)} & = -[K^{(3)} \alpha^{(M)}]^{-1}U^{(M)}H^{(M)}, \\
R & = [K^{(1)} \alpha^{(1)}]^{-1}[K^{(1)} \alpha^{(1)}]
\end{align*}
\]

By using new matrix relationship between Eq. (54) and Eq. (55) using the same equations \( X^{(2)} \), \( X^{(3)} \), \( X^{(4)} \) in Eq. (25) and Eq. (26) for the TE case, we get following equation in the boundary condition at Eq. (43), Eq. (44), Eq. (47) and Eq. (48) in regard to \( A_{\nu}^{(1)}, B_{\nu}^{(1)}, A_{\nu}^{(M)}, \) and \( B_{\nu}^{(M)} \).

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}A^{(1)} + Q_{2}B^{(1)} &= F, \\
Q_{2}A^{(M)} + Q_{2}B^{(M)} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

where, \( F \triangleq [0 (Z_{k} \in C_{1})]^{T} \), 1 + \( X^{C}R (Z_{k} \in C_{1}) \),

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_{1} & = X^{C}U^{(1)}H^{(1)} + X^{C}(U^{(1)} - P^{(1)}), \\
Q_{2} & = -X^{C}U^{(2)}H^{(2)} + X^{C}(U^{(2)} - P^{(2)}), \\
Q_{3} & = X^{C}U^{(3)}H^{(3)} + X^{C}(U^{(3)} - P^{(3)}), \\
Q_{4} & = -X^{C}U^{(4)}H^{(4)} + X^{C}(U^{(4)} - P^{(4)}), \\
H^{(1)} & = [k_{y}^{(1)} \cdot \delta_{\gamma x}], \\
D^{(0)} & = \left[ e^{i\gamma_{\alpha}a} \cdot \delta_{\gamma y} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

where \( W \triangleq Q_{1}S_{1} + Q_{2}S_{2} - (Q_{1}S_{2} + Q_{2}S_{1})Q_{1}^{-1}Q_{2} \).

3. Numerical Analysis

We consider the three cases of strip profiles with inhomogeneous dielectric gratings as follows in Fig. 1:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{2}(x, z)/\varepsilon_{0} & \triangleq \begin{cases} 
1.0 : (0 \leq \phi \leq \alpha \) \text{ and } \beta \\
1.5 : (\alpha < \phi \leq \pi/2)
\end{cases} \\
\varepsilon_{1} = \varepsilon_{3} = \varepsilon_{0}, \ b/p = 0.5 \text{ and } D/p = 0.3.
\end{align*}
\]

The cases of parameters chosen are as follows in Fig. 3:

Case circle ①:

\( a = b \) and \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \) \( (\delta/p = 0) \),

Case circle ②:

\( a = b \) and \( \beta = -\alpha \) \( (\delta/p \neq 0) \),

Case circle ③:

\( a < b \) and \( \alpha = \beta \) \( (\delta/p \neq 0) \).

Figures 4 (a) and 4 (b) show the convergence of \( \rho_t \) versus \( 1/M \) for the case of circle 2 with the fixed \( N \) and \( N_f \): (a) TE Wave and (b) TM Wave, respectively.

From Fig. 3, for the TE Wave we take \( N = 15 \) and \( M = 20 \) and for the TM Wave we take \( N = 9 \) (\( N_f = 6 \)) and \( M = 20 \).

The relative errors (\( \equiv \) [extrapolated true value – computed value]/[extrapolated true value] [9]) of \( \rho_t \) both TE and TM Wave is less than 0.1% for \( M > 20 \) in Fig. 4. The energy errors (\( \epsilon_t^{(TE)} \) and \( \epsilon_t^{(TM)} \)) are less than about \( 10^{-7} \) for TE Wave and \( 10^{-3} \) for TM Wave, respectively. For the case of circle 3, the order of relative error and energy error are about same, but for the case of circle 1, the energy error is about \( 10^{-7} \) for both Waves, because of the homogeneous layer.

Figures 5 shows \( \rho_t \) for various values of normalized frequency \( \lambda/p \) at \( \theta_0 = 0^\circ \). Figure 5 (a) is TE wave and Fig. 5 (b) is TM wave. From Fig. 5 we can see following features:

(1) Characteristic tendencies of coupling resonance cases of circle 1, circle 2 and circle 3 are approximately same for the TE and TM wave at \( 1.4 < p/\lambda < 1.5 \).
(2) For the TE wave, the peak of \( \rho_t^{(TE)} \) in \( p/\lambda < 1.0 \) is suppressed and the resonant peaks of frequency moves toward smaller in the case of circle 3.
(3) For the TM wave in \( p/\lambda < 1.0 \) the resonant peaks of frequency moves toward larger circle 3.

Figures 6 shows for the various values of normalized frequency with the case of for the same parameters Fig. 5. We can see following features:

(1) The characteristic tendencies for the effect of equivalent permittivity are approximately same.
(2) For the TE wave, as the incidence angle \( \theta_0 \) is equal to \( \alpha = 18.43^\circ \), the \( p/\lambda \) is around 1.4 and resonant peaks appears, and \( p/\lambda \) is \( 0.7 < \lambda/p < 1.4 \) in case of the trapezoid type of circle 3, and it is interesting that \( \rho_t^{(TE)} \) becomes nearly constant.
(3) For the TM wave, it doesn’t change into resonant peaks around \( p/\lambda = 0.75 \) and \( p/\lambda = 1.4 \) in case of circle \( \circ \). But it peaks move toward smaller \( p/\lambda \).

Figures 7 shows \( \rho_t \) when only the strip is upper part (the lower part of strip is removed) for the same parameters Fig. 5 at \( \theta_0 = 0^\circ \). Figure 7 (a) are TE wave and Fig. 7 (b) are TM wave.

We can see following features:

(4) For the TE wave, characteristic tendency of \( \rho_t^{(TE)} \) is similar in case of a dielectric strip type and influences of \( p/\lambda \) around Wood’s anomaly by a frequency response of a TE wave becomes larger in case of the trapezoid type circle \( \circ \) because \( a/p \) is small.

(5) For the TM wave, characteristic tendency of \( \rho_t^{(TM)} \) is similar in case of a dielectric strip type, but the resonant peaks \( \rho_t^{(TM)} \) of \( p/\lambda \) around 1.0 indicates the double switching effects [18] (two resonance peaks appear for both low and high frequency region) in case of the trapezoid type circle \( \circ \) as it also indicates in an enlarged drawing in the Fig. 7 (b) for \( 0.985 < \lambda/p < 0.995 \).

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a new method for the scattering of electromagnetic waves by inhomogeneous dielectric gratings loaded with perfectly conducting strips using the combination of improved Fourier series expansion method and point matching method. An advantage of our method, the order of the matrix size for the simultaneous equation depends on the modal truncation number, but it does not depend on number of strip layers using matrix formulations.

Numerical results are given for the transmitted scattered characteristics for the case of frequency loaded with the parallel perfectly conducting strips for TE and TM cases. The effects of the inhomogeneous dielectric gratings compared with that of the slant angle on the transmitted power are discussed.

This method also can be applied to the inhomogeneous dielectrics having an arbitrarily periodic structures combination of dielectric and metallic materials [19].
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