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SUMMARY In the evolution of wireless networks such as wireless sensor networks, mobile ad-hoc networks, and delay/disruption tolerant networks, the Store-Carry-Forward (SCF) message relaying paradigm has been commonly featured and studied with much attention. SCF networking is essential for offsetting the deficiencies of intermittent and range limited communication environments because it allows moving wireless communication nodes to act as “mobile relay nodes”. Such relay nodes can store/carry/process messages, wait for a better opportunity for transmission, and finally forward the messages to other nodes. This paper starts with a short overview of SCF routing and then examines two SCF networking scenarios. The first one deals with large content delivery across multiple islands using existing infrastructural transportation networks (e.g., cars and ferries) in which mobility is uncontrollable from an SCF viewpoint. Simulations show how a simple coding technique can improve flooding-based SCF. The other scenario looks at a prototype system of unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) for high-quality video surveillance from the sky in which mobility is partially controllable from an SCF viewpoint. Three requisite techniques in this scenario are highlighted – fast link setup, millimeter wave communications, and use of multiple links. Through these examples, we discuss the benefits and issues of the practical use of SCF networking-based systems.
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1. Introduction

To support the growing and diversified application requirements for computer and communications networks, wireless networking technologies have evolved from different aspects such as wireless sensor networks (WSN), mobile ad-hoc networks (MANET) in general (Vehicular ad-hoc networks (VANET) in particular), Inter Planetary networks (IPN), and delay/disruption tolerant networks (DTN) over recent decades. With this evolution, the store-carry-forward (SCF) message relaying paradigm has been commonly featured and studied with much attention. SCF networking allows moving wireless communication nodes to act as “mobile relay nodes” that can store/carry/process messages, wait for a better opportunity for transmission, and forward the messages to other nodes; this is of practical and/or essential use in intermittent and range limited communication environments.

Rapidly growing mobile telecommunications networks based on 3G and Long Term Evolution (LTE) attempt to cover a large part of all terrestrial residential areas. However, by taking into account the continuous growth of mobile data traffic demands and the continuous expansion of service areas demands, accommodating all areas and all applications via stationary base stations is generally inefficient in terms of economical cost, energy consumption, and the use of wireless frequency bands. This is because the demands will significantly change over time, e.g., hour by hour in a day, day by day in a week, or at a longer scale. In addition, we need independent alternative solutions for information networking when stationary base stations are unavailable, e.g., in disaster situations. Therefore, simply relying on those infrastructural telecom networks in all possible scenarios can be inefficient, fragile, or sometimes infeasible. It can be seen that SCF networking is not only a useful tool in extreme environments but also a common complementary tool for making systems efficient and robust in usual environments, towards the upcoming internet of things and 5G wireless networks. For example, since the moving speed of relay nodes is generally lower than the propagation speed of wired or wireless communications, SCF networking may not be expected to help real-time communications. However, a hybrid approach that integrates and simultaneously uses multiple types of networking allows SCF networking to be useful even with real-time communications.

SCF networking is one of the fundamentals of DTN technology that is for data communications in the presence of long delays and/or the lack of end-to-end connectivity not only due to disrupted and intermittent links but also in global networking over completely heterogeneous networks [6]. The issues of DTN are ranging from naming and addressing, message formats, data encoding methods, routing, congestion management, and security. The new DTN standardization activities in DTN WG/IETF has started to examine the following six use-cases to evolve the existing DTN specifications such as Bundle Protocol and DTN security related standards [33]: Space Systems Communications; Unmanned Air Systems (UAS) in Integrated Air Space; Vehicular Delay Tolerant Networks; Disaster Response and Humanitarian Aid; Unmanned Underwater Vehicles (UUV); and Civil Aviation.

In this paper, we introduce and examine two SCF networking scenarios. The first one deals with large content
delivery across multiple islands using cars and ferries, as an example of SCF networking over existing infrastructural transportation networks, in which the mobility is uncontrollable from an SCF networking viewpoint. We show how simple coding techniques (erasure coding at source and network coding at relay nodes) can improve a flooding-based SCF through simulations with realistic Wi-Fi communications and map-based mobility. The other scenario considers high-quality aerial video surveillance using UAV in which the mobility is partially controllable and intentionally collaborative from an SCF networking viewpoint. We experimentally discuss three requisite techniques – fast link setup, millimeter wave communications, and use of multiple links.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 overviews the relation among VANET, WSN, and SCF networking. Section 3 briefly explains the technical challenges in SCF networking. Section 4 discusses large content delivery across multiple islands through simulation. Section 5 introduces a UAV prototype system in field experiments. The concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

2. VANET, WSN, and SCF Networking

VANET and WSN are two active technology areas to which SCF networking has been applied. VANET is a type of MANET but more specifically focusing on moving vehicle-based information networking. VANET comprises Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V), Vehicle-to-Roadside (V2R), and Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) communications, and was originally developed to benefit the drivers, passengers, and pedestrians in terms of safety applications and in-vehicle entertainments, for example. Because of its great potential, however, VANET is now being considered for different purposes. Although the infrastructural facilities for vehicles were deployed with their own purpose, we can borrow those resources and add some functions to provide WSN applications (e.g., weather monitoring and roadway surveillance by in-car cameras [9]) and message relaying for general-purpose use in new applications leveraging information caching/processing on vehicles (e.g., data offloading [29], floating contents [14], and storage infrastructure [5]). All those can be considered as VANET-based cloud/edge computing. A recent survey paper on Vehicular cloud computing (VCC) technology introduced not only the applications for Intelligent Transport Systems such as safe- and eco-driving, efficient V2V communications, traffic congestion control, and parking resource management, but also other applications ranging from shopping mall data center to VCC technology in developing countries perspective [30]. Another report also classified the emerging VANET applications and provided a list of recent industrial and academic projects [18].

In VANET, in addition to MANET-based conventional instant routing schemes, SCF networking is needed when communication is disconnected as a result of vehicular movement. Vehicles (e.g., cars, buses, trains, and ferries) normally have sufficient resources such as batteries, storage, computing power, and high-speed wireless communications interfaces, with a good level of reliability and manageability. Vehicle movements are controlled to achieve some purposes but not to optimally benefit information networking; thus the mobility of each vehicle is generally uncontrollable in terms of SCF networking. However, it is possible to predict vehicular behaviors partially and statistically, e.g., the average number of cars travelling from an intersection to another intersection per hour as vehicular mobility is related to social activities that follow common patterns and rules. In some cases, other travel schedules, e.g., the route and timing of a ferry, are also predictable. Therefore they have desirable properties to be a good relay node for SCF networking. In general, creating an SCF-based information network using existing infrastructural transportation facilities is expected to be quick to deploy and low in cost compared with constructing a new wired/wireless network infrastructure, particularly in cases involving a sparse population, remote islands, developing countries, and disaster situations. A specific example of the combination of a conventional VANET routing and SCF has been studied [25], in which a hybrid cooperative routing using Fountain coding was developed and experimentally evaluated in an emergency response scenario. SCF networking in VANET can also be integrated with infrastructural telecom networks in an effective manner (e.g., [3], [31]).

In a smart-city setting, the mutual dependency or integration of vehicle networks and urban Internet infrastructures was described and discussed [8], which leads to the recent Internet of Vehicles concept. Emerging next generation technologies such as connected autonomous cars and self-driving cars will require reliable V2V intra-group communications (e.g., among cars in a car platoon/swarm for mobility control), V2V inter-group communications (e.g., among cars or car platoons approaching an intersection for intersection safety), V2R location-dependent communications (e.g., among cars and a roadside system for locally collaborative control), and V2I global communications (e.g., among cars and a central system for centralized data collection and globally optimized control), in which SCF networking will definitely play a collaborative role.

On the other hand, WSN is a networked system that monitors or senses distributed entities or areas. The name WSN represents not the type of node/environment but the purpose of the system, thus a VANET can be a WSN. Generally, nodes in a WSN are collaborative because they usually have the same purpose and are under the same management. In WSN, a looser concept of Store-Wait-Forward (SWF) was introduced for data relaying with intentional sleep and incidental down of neighbor nodes, in which data is stored for a longer time compared with conventional packet switching. Data processing at a node during relaying (e.g., filtering, aggregation, or compression) can also significantly improve the efficiency of WSN.

By combining SWF and node mobility, SCF networking has been naturally introduced to WSN with diverse use-cases. The ZebraNet [15] project, for tracking a large number of African zebras across a large region, constructed a
mobile ad-hoc WSN using SCF networking among zebras with global positioning system (GPS) sensors and stationary data collectors. Even when the sensing nodes are stationary, using mobile data collector or mobile sink is useful; DataMule [26] is an example of a mobile data collector that collects data from stationary sensor nodes. DistressNet [7] is another example that supports disaster response via distributed collaborative sensing for resource localization. In addition to terrestrial vehicle-based sensing (e.g., by cars), as mentioned previously, other new types of mobile sensing systems, such as those involving humans with smartphone, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), and field robots have attracted attention in recent years. For example, a recent study considered a WSN created by a group of mobile robots in the wild and highlighted some prospective applications [19].

3. Store-Carry-Forward Message Relaying

SCF networking is realized by forwarding messages among encountered mobile relay nodes by wireless communications. This meeting is called “contact”. In SCF, although diverse performance metrics can be targeted (e.g., average delay, worst-case delay, success probability of delivery meeting a deadline, or time-averaged throughput), a common goal is how to relay messages from its source node to destination node(s) via multiple hops in a fast and reliable manner. In this process, the following major difficulties emerge; (i) a limited and uncontrollable/unpredictable chance of contacts between nodes because of node mobility; (ii) a limited number of messages that can be forwarded in one contact, i.e., a limited time of one contact and a limited data rate of the wireless communication link between contacted nodes, depending on moving speed and wireless communication range/data rate; and (iii) little or no global network status information being available in a timely manner to optimize end-to-end delivery. Replication (copy) of each original message is a simple but needed mechanism in response to (i). However, it inherently wastes resources, and thus if the number of copies of original messages in the network becomes large, a bottleneck appears in (ii) and/or a “congestion” happens in terms of buffer storage. This leads to useless forwarding and carrying of copies of messages that will be deleted on the way, which is a distinct problem of SCF networking.

A decision on storing, replicating, forwarding, or deleting messages at each node is called “routing” in a wide sense; a relay node is an SCF router. When considering the hurdles discussed in (i), (ii), and (iii), purely non-deterministic SCF routing is the most challenging and has attracted the most attention to date. However, from practical viewpoint, a type of determinism or predictability may help SCF routing. As shown in Fig. 1, there are seven factors affecting performance of SCF. The message generation rate (1) and the copy policy (2) — such as single (no copy), limited (deterministic and probabilistic), and unlimited — directly impact the intensity of the message traffic in the network. A packet-level coding can be an intelligent copy instead of simple replication. The buffer size (3) determines how many messages can be temporally stored in a relay node, and this affects the number of messages that can be received and/or be sent in a contact with another node. The forwarding policy (4) includes the selection of messages stored in the buffer to be sent to a contacted node and the sending order of those messages such as first-in-first-out (FIFO) and random ordering. Messages in the buffer are deleted according to the drop policy (5) in place for giving free space to new messages; time-to-live (TTL) expiration, an acknowledgement from the message destination, and other buffer management rules such as drop-oldest are used. The wireless link properties (6) such as the data rate and the communication range in conjunction with the node mobility (7) determine the contact interval, the contact duration of one contact, and thus the number of messages to be exchanged in one contact.

Epidemic routing (EP) is the simplest flooding-based SCF routing protocol [28]. EP simply replicates and sends a message to any newly encountered node that does not have a copy of the message. It is known that EP performs best both in terms of delay and reliability in the case of unlimited resources. However, EP does not perform well in the case of limited resources because too many nodes will have the same messages, subsequently resulting in a long delivery delay. If storage congestion occurs, limited replication with aggressive deletion of messages should be performed. Limited replication can be realized deterministically (e.g., [27]) or probabilistically (e.g., [22]). Packet-level coding techniques such as Network Coding (NC) are helpful to mitigate the inefficiency of duplication in storage constrained situations (e.g., [12], [20], [32]).

If the number of messages that can be forwarded in one contact is low, an appropriate forwarding policy is important. In such cases, more intentional and intelligent routing based on collected, estimated, and/or pre-assumed information may perform well to achieve a specific objective, provided the information being used is reliable, i.e., correct and updated. However, if the information is unreliable, any intentional optimizations may become inappropriately biased and thus perform poorly. In fact, environments in which non-deterministic SCF networking is applied are often characterized by uncertainty. In such cases, any estimations and pre-assumptions are not always reliable. In addition, unreliable information may be disseminated by accidental operational mistakes or even malicious intent in real-life systems. Therefore, too much optimization does not always work well.
and is not necessarily good in terms of the robustness. The use of control messages can also be expected to improve performance, but these messages consume limited resources. For example, an acknowledgment from a message destination can delete unnecessary copies in a network [11]. A trade-off between stateful and stateless routing in relation to route stability and link stability has been analyzed [21].

There are as many sophisticated routing schemes (protocols) for non-deterministic SCF as there are for MANET. This reflects the fact that there is no single typical set of conditions, requirements, and performance prediction/evaluation methods. MaxProp [4], ProphetV2 [10], RAPID [2], and HBSD [17] are some well-known examples. Since social ties are expected to significantly impact on human mobility, social-aware SCF routing schemes have also been studied (e.g., [13]). A survey work [23] classified non-deterministic SCF networking into four — dissemination-based, context-based, fixed infrastructure-based, and mobile infrastructure-based. A more comprehensive classification can be found in another survey paper [16].

4. Large Content Delivery Across Multiple Islands

We consider large content delivery across multiple islands, called the island scenario, in which the source and destination nodes are located in separate areas (i.e., islands) connected by limited inter-area relay nodes (i.e., ferries). The ferry periodically shuttles between the ferry terminals at both islands and will become a bottleneck for end-to-end delivery because it is the only way to carry messages between the islands. More specifically, the messages left behind must wait for the next ferry, which may take a substantial amount of time. For efficient use of the ferry transportation, even if cars have a small buffer, the ferry and the ferry terminals as stationary gateway should have a very large buffer. All messages generated at the source node should be carried by cars and forwarded to and accumulated at the ferry terminal where the ferry will pick them up upon arrival. Then, on the other-side island, those messages carried by the ferry will be accumulated at the other ferry terminal to wait for cars to carry and forward them to the destination.

In such situation, a simple flooding by original Epidemic Routing (EP) has two clear drawbacks if the buffer size of cars as relay node are small. The first one (a) is frequent and inefficient message deletions during the contact of two relay nodes on the way. Since the buffer may likely be full in both nodes, message deletions happen unless two nodes have the same set of messages. The other drawback (b) is an unnecessary inverse-direction forwarding at the ferry terminal. A large number of messages stored at the ferry terminal will be forwarded to a contacted car which does not contain those messages. To solve them, we proposed a combination of hop-count-based forwarding and location-dependent remaining TTL consideration [1].

In this section, we show a quantitative and case-specific evaluation on erasure coding at source node (denoted by SC hereinafter) and network coding at relays (denoted by NC) in response to the issue (a). In realistic simulations by Scenargie [34], we used its EP/DTN module on TCP and 802.11g (2.4 GHz) Wi-Fi with the maximum propagation range of 10/100 m. For issue (b), we modified the EP module so that the inverse-direction forwarding was prohibited.

4.1 Simulation Settings

As shown in Fig. 2, two separate islands are connected to each other by a ferry travelling back and forth from one island to another, delivering messages from station A to station B. On the larger island, one static source node, station A, and 9 cars as relay node (responsible of relaying messages from the source to the station) exist. On the smaller island, one static destination node, station B, and 5 cars exist. The topology used here is based on a real situation in Indonesia. A large file is divided into 100 messages at the source and sent to the destination. Each message has a size of 50 KB. To investigate the delivery delay of an entire file, we set the message TTL infinite. Each car has a buffer storage of 30, 50, or 70 messages dedicated to this file and runs along narrow roads on the islands at a random low velocity between 4 [m/s] and 8 [m/s].

For both of SC case and NC case, we use Random Linear Network Coding (RLNC) [32] with systematic coding on a certain small Galois Fields, although SC can use a more strict erasure correcting coding such as Reed-Solomon Coding. In SC, the source node generates an additional coded messages and the relay nodes only replicate and forward the messages to the next nodes. In NC, the relay nodes also combine
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![Fig. 2](image-url) Simulation area for the island scenario.
multiple messages in its buffer to re-encode and create a new
encoded message to be forwarded to the next nodes. To indicate
how redundantly the source node generates encoded messages,
SC_1.2 and NC_1.2 represent that 100 original messages
and 20 encoded messages are generated, and SC_1.5 and
NC_1.5 represent that 100 original messages and 50 encoded
messages are generated at the source. Scenargie simulator
version 2.0 was used to implement EP, SC, and NC with
Drop-oldest algorithm as a way of dropping messages when
the buffer storage is full.

4.2 Simulation Results

Figure 3 represents the average delivery delay times with dif-
terent buffer sizes when the maximum propagation range of
Wi-Fi module of a node is 100 m and 10 m, respectively. The
advantage of NC to SC and EP is shown in terms of a lower
average delay especially in small buffer cases, and the per-
formance difference decreases as the buffer size increases.
Compared with SC_1.2, SC_1.5 is slightly better because
a larger variation of messages in SC_1.5 at the source may
lower the probability that relay nodes contain the same mes-
sages. Comparing the top and the bottom in Fig. 3, for all
cases with one exception (EP with buffer size 30), the per-
formances with the longer range of 100 m are shown to be
better than those with the shorter one of 10 m. That is be-
cause a larger Wi-Fi range augments the probability of node
contacts and thus the circulation of more messages. Figure 4
is a scatter graph representing the ratios of the delivery delay
time of SC and NC to that of EP in different node mobility
patterns (i.e., simulation instances), respectively. Here we
use SC_1.2 and NC_1.2. This figure also indicates the ad-
vantage of NC to SC and EP in terms of the delivery delay
time of individual instances.

Figure 5 represents the increase of the normalized number of messages delivered to the
destination by EP, SC, and NC through time in two mobility patterns.

The ferry arrival times at the destination island are also plot-
ted. At any-time there are more messages delivered to the
destination by NC, SC, and EP in this order. However the
weakness of RLNC is also seen. In one case (Fig. 5, bottom)
using NC, the first 100 encoded messages which arrived at the destination were linearly independent and the file was decoded immediately at that time. In the other case (Fig. 5, top) using NC, however, more than 160 messages were required to receive the first 100 linearly independent messages at the destination. In addition, those figures indicate too many message exchanges in NC cases. This is because messages exchanges occur even though those exchanges do not increase the rank of an encoding matrix at the receiver. A suppress method using nullspace-based stopping conditions [12] is expected to mitigate this issue.

5. Wild Surveillance Using UAV

The ability to even partially control the mobility of network nodes in the system can significantly increase the flexibility and effectiveness of configuring the communication subsystem. However, those mobile nodes often play multiple roles in the system, and the full controllability of node mobility does not necessarily imply that they can move or stay still only for the sake of establishing communication links. For instance, the primary function for remotely controllable vehicles such as Unmanned Ground Vehicles (UGVs) and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) tends to be physically carrying and forwarding logistics, or collecting various sensing data in the target area; relaying data in the network would likely be their secondary function. Therefore, even if their mobility can be fully controlled, extra mobility or staying still for communication purposes must be minimized. Further, as those mobile nodes are likely equipped with a GPS, an imagery sensor, or both for remote controllability, they are also data sources. In particular, the advancements of imagery sensor technology have realized super high resolution video streaming, but they have also significantly increased the size of data necessary to transmit by the communication subsystem. For instance, a commonly used camera device mounted on our UAV platform described later in this section generates imagery data at a rate of approximately 3.6 MB/s with a typical setting, which exceeds 1.0 GB in only less than 5 minutes. This rate of data generation is more than the maximum transmission rate achievable by a commonly used IEEE 802.11a/g device. In other words, those wireless devices cannot transmit the data generated on the vehicle to the ground in a real time fashion. Therefore, storing, carrying and forwarding data may be necessary even if mobile nodes have wireless communication links that are almost always connected to the network. Given these issues, it is highly important to maximize the utility of available communication links even if the mobility of network nodes can be controllable. Several candidate techniques to address these issues are described below:

• Fast link setup

A significant amount of time is usually spent on a handshaking protocol when establishing a communication link before starting the actual data transmission. This is not a critical problem with a wired link whose lifetime is much longer than the initial link setup time. However, it is highly critical for short-lived wireless links that compose an SCF based network, particularly when communication opportunities are highly limited. One of the attempts to reduce this initial link setup time can be found at Task Group AI of the IEEE 802.11 Working Group [35]. It is at the final stage of standardizing its fast initial link setup procedure for the IEEE 802.11 devices.

• Millimeter wave communication

Once a communication link is established, it is highly important to be able to transfer as much data as possible. While throughputs achievable by recent IEEE 802.11 devices operated at 2.4 or 5 GHz are substantially better than by those on the market about a decade ago, their performance may still be suffered by various sources of interference as well as contentions with other communication links nearby. The IEEE 802.11ad [36] operated at 60 GHz may offer an alternative medium to provide a communication link that can yield over 1 Gb/s with little interference and fewer contentions. Please note, however, that their communication range is very short, and some amount of detouring by mobile nodes may become necessary to establish millimeter wave communication links.

• Use of multiple links

It is increasingly likely that mobile nodes have multiple communication devices to be able to utilize on board. When their capabilities (e.g., data rate and communication range) are highly disparate, one good approach would be to utilize them individually for different purposes. For instance, if a mobile node is equipped with a Wi-Fi card and a 3G cellular module, original imagery data can be transmitted via high data rate but short range Wi-Fi in an SCF manner, while data transcoded to a lower resolution can be transmitted via low data rate but long range 3G. When capabilities of multiple devices are similar, they can be used together to transmit the same data to multiple destinations, or to the same destination faster by aggregating multiple links to form a single but faster communication link. In order to physically test and evaluate these techniques described above, a UAV prototype system shown in Fig. 6 has been built. As shown in the figure, the system is comprised with a UAV itself and a PC based ground control station. The UAV is built around an Intel Edison [37] computing module, with a u-blox GPS module [38] and a 3DR Pixhawk flight controller [39] to form its flight control subsystem, and a GoPro camera device [40] with a gimbal, and a UVC video capture card to form its imagery subsystem. Its primary communication medium is Wi-Fi included in the Edison, with a 3G cellular module optionally connected to the Edison as a secondary medium. The connectivity of these modules is shown in Fig. 7.

A test flight of the UAV has been performed in a park located in Los Angeles, California. Figure 8 shows one frame retrieved out of the original video stream, and the corresponding frame created at the video capture card and then transmitted by the Edison to the ground station. By reducing
While there is no one-size-fits-all solution, there are many potential applications that will benefit from a use-case oriented SCF networking as a tool. Our simulation study demonstrated the efficacy of network coding in storage constrained situations. Our proto-type UAV experiment suggested the technologies for efficient contact – fast link setup, millimeter wave communications, and use of multiple links.

Although sophisticated SCF routing protocols have been studied to improve the performance in a wide range of uncertainty, the use of SCF networking is still hindered due to the lack of performance prediction and evaluation methods before developing and deploying a system in a targeted real environment with heterogeneous conditions. Therefore realistic simulation and proto-type experiments are of practical importance. Some recent studies challenge analytical performance evaluation in heterogeneous conditions (e.g., [21, 24]). The lack of software and/or hardware platforms is also a barrier for easy prototyping. Based on this situation, the practical use of SCF networking will start from a variety of VANET-based applications on infrastructural transportation systems and indispensable WSN applications on autonomous mobile node such as UAV systems. The mobility in the former is uncontrollable but partially predictable in terms of information networking, while that in the latter is partially controllable and intentionally collaborative. Two examples we introduced in this paper fall into this category.
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